

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/756,994	01/15/2004	Patrick Owen O'Leary	001	3723	
7590 06/20/2006			EXAMINER		
PATRICK OWEN O'LEARY			CECIL, TERRY K		
3417 WYTHE A RICHMOND, Y			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1723		
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			994	O'LEARY, PATR	O'LEARY, PATRICK OWEN			
			er .	Art Unit				
		·	y K. Cecil	1723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	ne cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statum to reply reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 67 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. ory period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MC oplication to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 1-15-2004						
•=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	,							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims	•		,				
· _		dication						
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William William O	onsideration.		·			
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the E	yaminer						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		a)☐ objected to	o by the Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objectio			-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•	• •	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. N	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority do							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t			n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
* 0	application from the International	•	, ,,	sk anna i i a d				
	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list or the cer	tified copies no	or received.				
Attachmen	t(s)				·			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	`O-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	C. C	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/756,994

Art Unit: 1723

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a fuel filter, classified in class 210, subclass 85.
  - II. Claims 15-20, drawn to a method of filtering, classified in class 210, subclass767.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of Group I can be used in method that does not require a configuration wherein, after passing through the valve casing port, the unfiltered fuel is then passed to the filter media of the second chamber—of Group II.
- Restriction for examination purposes is proper because of the reasons given above and also because (i) they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, (ii) the search required for the respective groups is not necessarily required by each of the other groups, and (iii) their subject matter is recognized as divergent.
- 3. A telephone call was made to P. O'Leary on 6-16-2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr. O'Leary requested a written restriction.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,994

Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,994

Art Unit: 1723

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mr. Terry K. Cecil whose telephone number is (571) 272-1138.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a-4:30p M-F..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1723

**TKC**